

Lower Compton NAAFI Project Group Meeting

Minutes of the meeting held at the Calne Woodlands Social Club on 13 February 2012.

PRESENT

Local Residents

Barry Miller
Chris Smart
Amber Murray
Wendy Sheen
Lisa Murray
Mandy Bush
Chris Bush
David Evans
Louise Gardiner
Lucie Sheen
Lisa Murray
Sabina Buckley
PC Alan Blake

Hills Group

Andrea Pellegram, technical services manager
Monique Hayes, communications officer
Adele Clark, Hills' employee representative

1. Apologies	
Apologies were received from Jackie Day, Rev Philip Bromiley	
2. Minutes of the previous meeting	
The minutes were agreed.	
3. Final approval of the constitution	
The final wording of the constitution was agreed and signed.	
4. Public Session	
Local children have been reporting activity at the building and the police have been called on a number of occasions. The most recent vandalism was felt to be due to metal theft but there is now little of value left on the site	
5. Report Back from Hills	
It was reported that Hills was not in a position to give a precise indication of what would be offered to the community. It was suggested that the offer would become clearer once the community report and its proposals was put to the board.	
MH tabled a report from the Hills surveyor which indicated the level and type of remediation that was required. A conservative estimate that did not take the latest vandalism into account showed a cost of around £140,000 to reinstate the building. A discussion ensued and it was felt that this was still considerably less than CB estimated a new build to be. The group agreed to proceed on the assumption that repair was better than rebuild. Hills representatives noted that due to the high cost of repairs it may be that the building is demolished and some other structure installed.	
The NPG questioned what Hills wanted from the site and the brief response was that Hills wanted to achieve good will, cover the costs, and that the offer was time limited (assume around 25 years).	

<p>The NPG noted that a good way to keep repair costs down would be to seek volunteer tradesmen from the community.</p>	
6. Communications and publicity	
<p>CB had set up a Drop Box account for the group where documents and ideas could be shared. The Chair thanked him and reminded everyone that the TOR ensured that Hills would be in charge of communications.</p> <p>CB would hand over management of the Drop Box to MH.</p> <p>The Chair reminded everyone that they could not invite other people onto the Drop Box without the NPG's agreement.</p> <p>PC Alan Blake would be given access to the Drop Box though not a voting member.</p>	<p>CB / MH</p>
7. Security	
<p>NPG suggested that the building should be cleared of rubble and a sign posted to detract further vandalism as a matter of some urgency. NPG stated that a community working party could be put together by April. MH to investigate.</p> <p>The value of CCTV cameras was discussed and rejected as not providing sufficient value for money.</p> <p>Poacher cameras were discussed as a lower cost option but were also rejected since they were only of value after the damage had been done.</p> <p>Alarm systems are generally too slow and by the time the police arrive, the vandals/thieves are gone.</p> <p>It was felt that since the vandalism had been so complete, that there was now no point in worrying about it. The matter should be revisited once the building construction/repairs were underway.</p> <p>New fencing that would allow the building to be viewed from the estate and roadway was strongly supported.</p> <p>It was agreed that the consultation literature would highlight the security problems and ask people to report vandalism to the police immediately.</p>	<p>MH / NPG</p>
8. Local Community Consultation Plan	
<p>It was agreed that the consultation would be of every house in Lower Compton, delivered by members of the NPG.</p> <p>The consultation would be in two stages:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First stage – delivery of an explanatory leaflet setting out the purpose of the consultation and explaining that NPG members would be coming to individual houses with a survey; 2. Second stage - A door to door survey. <p>CB would put the 2009 survey onto Drop Box for info.</p> <p>The Chairman suggested a number of questions for the survey:</p>	<p>ALL</p>

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What would you like the Naafi to become? 2. Once the building is reinstated, would you be willing to use it? 3. If you used it, how often? 4. Would you be willing to spend any time to help reinstate the building and if so, do you have any skills or talents that would benefit the project? 5. What types of groups do you think would use the building? <p>CB and MB would do the first draft of the info literature and the survey. This would be put onto the Drop Box for everyone to check. Once it was agreed, the final text would be sent to MH for her to make 300 copies. These would be sent to AC. AC would give them to the Chair for her to distribute for the rounds as follows:</p> <p>Louise = Atcherley Road and Embrys Close Barry = Whittle Avenue Chris = Dowding Drive Wendy = Officer's side and the house's surrounding the club Sabina = Spreckley Road, Boyle Avenue and Beamish Close Jackie = Calstone</p>	
9. Partners	
<p>Partners would be clarified once the consultation was complete but at this stage, the main partners identified were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Police 2. Other village halls 3. Community First 4. Parish Council (Calne Without) 5. Calne Area Board 	
10. Any other business	
<p>Lisa Murray and Lucie Sheen were unanimously elected to become members of the NGP.</p>	
11. Date and time of next meeting	
<p>Tuesday, 13 March, Compton Bassett Village Hall, 6.00pm</p> <p>Draft Agenda:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Results of survey 2. Way Forward 3. Report from Hills 4. CB's papers (previously circulated on Drop Box) <p>Wednesday, 11 April, Cherhill Village Hall, 6.30pm</p>	